SOME FIXED POINT RESULTS IN b-METRIC SPACES

A.K. DUBEY, RITA SHUKLA, R.P. DUBEY

Abstract: In this paper, we show that different type of contraction mappings have unique fixed point in bmetric spaces.

Introduction and Preliminaries: The concept of b-metric space was introduced by Bakhtin in [1] and used by Czerwik in [6].

It is well known that Banach's contraction mapping theorem is one of the pivotal results of functional Analysis. A mapping $T: X \to X$ where (X, d) is a metric space, is said to be a contraction if there exists $k \in [0,1)$ such that $\forall x, y \in X$

If the metric space (X, d) is complete the mapping satisfying (1.1) has a unique fixed point. Inequality (1.1) implies continuity of *T*. We have some contractive condition which will imply existence of fixed point in a complete metric space but will not imply continuity (See [10]).

In this paper, we establish some new contractive type condition for mappings defined on bmetric spaces and prove some new fixed point theorems for these mappings. Our results are generalizations of results in [10].

Definition 1[1]: Let *X* be a non-empty set and let $s \ge 1$ be a given real number. A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow R_+$, is called a b-metric provided that, for all $x, y, z \in X$,

1)
$$d(x, y) = 0$$
 iff $x = y$,

- $2) \qquad d(x,y) = d(y,x),$
- 3) $d(x,z) \le s[d(x,y) + d(y,z)].$

A pair (X, d) is called a b-metric space. It is clear that definition of b-metric space is a extension of usual metric space.

Example 1[7]: The space $l_p (0 ,$

$$l_p = \left\{ (x_n) \subset \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p < \infty \right\},\$$

together with the function $d: l_p \times l_p \to \mathbb{R}$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases: Fixed point, b-metric spaces, contractive mappings.

$$d(x,y) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $x = x_n$, $y = y_n \in l_p$ is a b-metric space. By an elementary calculation we obtain that

$$d(x,z) \le \frac{1}{2^p} [d(x,y) + d(y,z)]$$

Example 2[7]: The $L_p(0 of all real functions <math>x(t), t \in [0,1]$ such that $\int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt < \infty$,

is b-metric space if we take

$$d(x,y) = \left[\int_0^1 |x(t) - y(t)|^p dt\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for each $x, y \in L_p$.

Definition 2[7]: Let (*X*, *d*) be a b-metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in *X* is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $n(\varepsilon) \in N$ such that for each $n, m \ge n(\varepsilon)$ we have $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 3[7]: Let (X, d) be a b-metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called convergent sequence if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that for all there exists $n(\varepsilon) \in N$ such that for all $n \ge n(\varepsilon)$ we have $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$. In this case, we write $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 4[7]: The b-metric space is complete if every Cauchy sequence convergent.

Definition 5[9]: Let *E* be a non-empty set and $T: E \to E$ a self map. We say that $x \in E$ is a fixed point of *T* if T(x) = x and denote by *FT* or Fix(T) the set of all fixed points of *T*.

Let E be any set and $T: E \to E$ a self map. For any given $x \in E$ we define $T^n(x)$ inductively by $T^0(x) = x$ and $T^{n+1}(x) = T(T^n(x))$, we recall $T^n(x)$, the n^{th} iterative of x under T. For any $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 0} \subset X$ given by

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0, \ n = 1, 2, \dots$$

is called the sequence of successive approximations with the initial value x_0 . It is also known as the Picard iteration starting at x_0 .

Definition 6[9]: Let (X, d) be metric space. A mapping $T: X \to X$ is called weak contraction if there exists a constant $\delta \in (0,1)$ and some $L \ge 0$ such that

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \delta d(x,y) + Ld(y,Tx) \quad (1.2)$$

Remark 7[9]: Due to symmetry of the distance, the weak contractive condition (1.2) imply implicitly includes the following dual one.

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \delta d(x,y) + Ld(x,Ty) \quad (1.3)$$

 $\forall x, y \in X$

In order to check the weak contractiveness of T; it is necessary to check both (1.2) and (1.3). It is clear that any contraction mapping is also weak contraction mapping in a metric space.

Main Results: In this section, we give some fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces. **Theorem 1:** Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with constant $s \ge 1$ and define the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ by the recursion

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$$

Let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping such that

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \lambda_1 d(x,y) + \lambda_2 d(x,Tx) + \lambda_3 d(y,Ty) + \lambda_4 [d(y,Tx) + d(x,Ty)](1)$$

where $\lambda_1 + 2s\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + 2s\lambda_4 \le 1$

 $\forall x, y \in X$ then there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \to x^*$ and x^* is a unique fixed point. **Proof:** Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in X defined as $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$, n = 1, 2, 3 - - - (2)

By (1) and (2) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + \lambda_3 d(x_n, Tx_n) + \lambda_4 [d(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &+ d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)] \\ &\leq \lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \lambda_3 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_4 [d(x_n, x_n) \\ &+ d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})] \\ &\leq \lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + s\lambda_2 [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \lambda_3 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &+ s\lambda_4 [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\ &\leq \lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + s\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + s\lambda_2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \lambda_3 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &+ s\lambda_4 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq (\lambda_1 + s\lambda_2 + s\lambda_4) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + (s\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + s\lambda_4) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - s\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - s\lambda_4) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\lambda_1 + s\lambda_2 + s\lambda_4) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{(\lambda_1 + s\lambda_2 + s\lambda_4)}{(1 - s\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - s\lambda_4)} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq k d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \frac{\lambda_1 + s\lambda_2 + s\lambda_4}{1 - s\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - s\lambda_4} \le 1$ As $\lambda_1 + 2s\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + 2s\lambda_4 \le 1$ $\lambda_1 + s\lambda_2 + s\lambda_4 \le 1 - s\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - s\lambda_4$ $\frac{\lambda_1 + s\lambda_2 + s\lambda_4}{1 - s\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - s\lambda_4} \le 1$ $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le kd(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ $\le k^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$

Continuing this process, we get

$$\leq k^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Now, we show that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Let m, n > 0 with m > n $d(x - x_n) \le s d(x - x_n) + s^2 d(x - x_n) + s^3 d(x - x_n) + s^2 d(x - x_n) + s^$

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le s d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + s^3 d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + - - - - \\ \le s k^n d(x_1, x_0) + s^2 k^{n+1} d(x_1, x_0) + - - - + s^m k^{n+m-1} d(x_1, x_0) \\ \le s k^n d(x_1, x_0) [1 + (sk) + (sk)^2 + - - - + (sk)^{m-1}]$$

$$\leq s k^n d(x_1, x_0) \left[\frac{1 - (sk)^{n - (m-1)}}{1 - sk} \right]$$

When we take $m, n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}d(x_n,x_m)=0.$$

Hence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Since $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\{x_n\}$ converges to $x^* \in X$.

Now we show that x^* is the unique fixed point of *T*.

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq s[d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*)] \\ &\leq sd(x^*, x_{n+1}) + sd(Tx_n, Tx^*) \\ &\leq sd(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda_1 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_2 d(x_n, Tx_n) + s\lambda_3 d(x^*, Tx^*) \\ &\quad + s\lambda_4 [d(x^*, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx^*)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq sd(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda_1 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda_3 d(x^*, Tx^*) \\ &\quad + s\lambda_4 d(x^*, Tx_n) + s\lambda_4 d(x_n, Tx^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq sd(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda_1 d(x_n, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x^*, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + s\lambda_3 d(x^*, Tx^*) + s\lambda_4 d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s^2\lambda_4 [d(x_n, x^*) + d(x^*, Tx^*)] \\ (1 - s\lambda_3 - s^2\lambda_4) d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq sd(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda_1 d(x_n, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x^*, x_n) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda_4 d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s^2\lambda_4 d(x_n, x^*) \\ &\quad \Rightarrow (1 - s\lambda_3 - s^2\lambda_4) d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq (s + s^2\lambda_2 + s\lambda_4) d(x_{n+1}, x^*) \\ &\quad \qquad + (s\lambda_1 + s^2\lambda_2 + s^2\lambda_4) d(x_n, x^*) \\ &\Rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \frac{(s + s^2\lambda_2 + s\lambda_4)}{(1 - s\lambda_3 - s^2\lambda_4)} d(x_{n+1}, x^*) + \frac{(s\lambda_1 + s^2\lambda_2 + s^2\lambda_4)}{(1 - s\lambda_3 - s^2\lambda_4)} d(x_n, x^*) \end{aligned}$$

 $d(x^*, Tx^*) \le 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Now we show that x^* is the fixed point of *T*. Assume that x' is another fixed point of *T*, then we have Tx' = x' and

$$d(x^*, x') = d(Tx^*, Tx')$$

$$\leq \lambda_1 d(x^*, x') + \lambda_2 d(x^*, Tx^*) + \lambda_3 d(x', Tx') + \lambda_4 [d(x', Tx^*) + d(x^*, Tx')]$$

$$\leq \lambda_1 d(x^*, x') + \lambda_2 d(x^*, x^*) + \lambda_3 d(x', x') + \lambda_4 [d(x', x^*) + d(x^*, x')]$$

$$\leq \lambda_1 d(x^*, x') + \lambda_4 [d(x', x^*) + d(x^*, x')] = (\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_4) d(x^*, x')$$
ch implies that $x^* - x'$

which implies that $x^* = x'$.

Theorem 2: Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with constant $s \ge 1$. Let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping for which there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, \frac{1}{3})$ such that

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \lambda_1 d(x,y) + \lambda_2 [d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)] \qquad \qquad \text{-----}(3)$$
$$\forall x,y \in X$$

Then there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \to x^*$ and x^* is a unique fixed point of T. **Proof:** Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in X defined as $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$, n = 1, 2, 3 - -. By using (3) $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$ $\leq \lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \lambda_2 [d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_n, Tx_n)]$

$$\leq \lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \lambda_2 [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]$$

$$\leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \lambda_2 d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - \lambda_2) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_2}\right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\leq k d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$
where $k = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_2} \leq 1$

$$as \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq 1 - \lambda_2$$

$$\frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_2} \leq 1$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_1, x_0)$$

Thus *T* is a contraction mapping.

Now, we show that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Let m, n > 0 with m > n

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq s \left[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \right] \\ &\leq s d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + s^3 d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) \\ &+ - - - + s^m d(x_{n+m-1}, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

$$&\leq s k^n d(x_1, x_0) + s^2 k^{n+1} d(x_1, x_0) + - - - + s^m k^{n+m-1} d(x_1, x_0) \\ &\leq (s k^n) d(x_1, x_0) [1 + (sk) + (sk)^2 + - - - + (sk)^{m-1}] \\ &\leq (s k^n) d(x_1, x_0) \left[\frac{1 - (sk)^{n-(m-1)}}{1 - sk} \right] \end{aligned}$$

when we take $m, n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}d(x_n,x_m)=0.$$

Hence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Since $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\{x_n\}$ converges to $x^* \in X$.

Now we show that x^* is the unique fixed point of *T*.

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq s[d(x^*, x_n) + d(x_n, Tx^*)] \\ d(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq s[d(x^*, x_n) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx^*) \\ &\leq sd(x^*, x_n) + s[\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*)] + s\lambda_2[d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x^*, Tx^*)] \\ &\leq sd(x^*, x_n) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + s\lambda_2 d(x^*, Tx^*) \\ &\Rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq sd(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2[d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + d(x^*, x_n)] \\ &\quad + s\lambda_2 d(x^*, Tx^*) \\ &\Rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq sd(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x^*, Tx^*) \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - s\lambda_2)d(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq sd(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) + s\lambda_1 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\quad + s^2\lambda_2 d(x_n, x^*) \\ &\quad + s$$

$$lim_{n \to \infty} d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$$

i.e $Tx^* = x^*$

Now we show that x^* is the unique fixed point of *T*. Assume that x' is another fixed point of *T*, then we have Tx' = x' and

 $d(x^*, x') = d(Tx^*, Tx')$ $\leq \lambda_1 d(x^*, x') + \lambda_2 [d(x^*, Tx^*) + d(x', Tx')]$ $d(x^*, x') \leq \lambda_1 d(x^*, x')$ $(1 - \lambda_1) d(x^*, x') \leq 0$

which implies that $x^* = x'$ This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in quasi-metric spaces, Funct. Anal. Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst. 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [2] Chaudhary, B.S., Unique fixed point theorem for weakly c-contractive mappings, Kathmandu Univ. J. Sci, Eng. And Tech, 5(1), 2009, 6-13.
- [3] Kannan, R., some results on fixed points, Bull.Calcutta Math.Soc., 60(1968) 71-76.
- [4] Chatterjea, S.K. Fixed point theorems, C.R.Acad.Bulgare Sci., 25 (1972)727-730.
- [5] Czerwik, S., Contraction mappings in b-metric space, Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostraviensis 1(1993), 5-11
- [6] Czerwik, S., Non-linear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Atti Sem Math Fig Univ Modena, 46(2), (1998), 263-276.
- [7] Boriceanu, M., Fixed Point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b-metrics, studia Univ Babes, Bolya: Math. LIV (3) (2009), 1-14.
- [8] Aydi et. al., A fixed point theorem for set valued quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces, Fixed point theory and Applications, 2012:88.
- [9] Berinde, V., Iterative Approximation of Fixed points, Springer, (2006).
- [10] Mehmet Kir, Hiikmi kiziltunc; On some well known fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number theory, 2013, Vol.1, No.1, 13-16.

A.K. DUBEY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BHILAI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BHILAI HOUSE, DURG, CHHATTISGARH 491001, INDIA

RITA SHUKLA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHRI SHANKRACHARYA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, BHILAI, 490020, CHHATTISGARH, INDIA

R.P. DUBEY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DR. C.V. RAMAN UNIVERSITY, BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH, INDIA

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: A.K.DUBEY, anilkumardby@rediffmail.com

6/6