

# Some Fixed Point Results in Dislocated Quasi-Metric Space

A.K.Dubey<sup>1,\*</sup>, Reena Shukla<sup>2</sup>, R.P. Dubey<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bhilai Institute of Technology,

Bhilai House, Durg, Chhattishgarh 491001, INDIA

<sup>2,3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dr. C.V. Raman University,

Bilaspur, Chhattishgarh, INDIA

## Abstract:

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems for different contraction mappings in dislocated quasi-metric space. The results presented in this paper extend and improve several well-known results in the literature.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10

**Key Words:** Dislocated quasi-metric, fixed point.

## 1. Introduction

Banach (1922) proved fixed point theorem for contraction mappings in complete metric space. It is well-known as a Banach fixed point theorem. It has many applications in various branches of mathematics such as differential equation, integral equation, fractals, mathematical economics etc. Kannan [7] proved a fixed point theorem for new type of contraction mappings called Kannan mappings in a complete metric space. Lj. B. Ćirić [5] gave a generalization of Banach contraction principle in metric space. In 2008, Aage & Salunke [3] proved some fixed point theorems for Kannan mappings and generalized contraction mappings in dislocated quasi-metric space.

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems for different contraction mappings in dislocated quasi-metric space.

## 2. Preliminary

**Definition 2.1[4].** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a function satisfying following conditions:

- (i)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$  implies  $x = y$
- (ii)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then  $d$  is called a dislocated quasi-metric on  $X$ . If  $d$  satisfies  $d(x, x) = 0$ , then it is called a quasi-metric on  $X$ . If  $d$  satisfies  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  then it is called dislocated metric.

**Definition 2.2[4].** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in dq-metric space (dislocated quasi-metric space)  $(X, d)$  is called Cauchy sequence if for given  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall m, n \geq n_0$ , implies  $d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$  or  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$

i.e.  $\min \{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 2.3[4].** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  dislocated quasi-converges to  $x$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$$

In this case  $x$  is called a dq-limit of  $\{x_n\}$  and we write  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

**Definition 2.4[4].** A dq-metric space  $(X, d)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is a dq-convergent.

**Definition 2.5[4].** Let  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(Y, d_2)$  be dq-metric spaces and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then  $f$  is continuous to  $x_0 \in X$ , if for each sequence  $\{x_n\}$  which is  $d_1 - q$  convergent to  $x_0$ , the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is  $d_2 - q$  convergent to  $f(x_0)$  in  $Y$ .

**Definition 2.6[4].** Let  $(X, d)$  be a dq-metric space. A map  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is called contraction if there exists  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a dq-metric space and let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction function then  $\{f^n(x_0)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for each  $x_0 \in X$ .

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dq-metric space and let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \quad \text{-----(3.1)}$$

where  $a, b$  are non negative, which may depends on both  $x$  and  $y$ , such that  $Sup\{a + 2b: x, y \in X\} < 1$ . Then  $T$  has unique fixed point.

**Proof :** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ , defined as follows

$$\text{Let } x_0 \in X, T(x_0) = x_1, T(x_1) = x_2, \dots, T(x_n) = x_{n+1}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq ad(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b[d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_n, Tx_n)] \\ &= ad(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\ &= ad(x_{n-1}, x_n) + bd(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq (a + b)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + bd(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{a+b}{1-b} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \lambda \leq \frac{(a+b)}{(1-b)}$$

Similarly we have  $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$

In this way, we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Since  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  so far  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ . Similarly we show that  $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the complete dislocated quasi-metric space  $X$ . So there is a point  $t_0 \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow t_0$ . Since  $T$  is continuous we have

$$T(t_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = t_0.$$

Thus  $T(t_0) = t_0$ . Thus  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Uniqueness :** Let  $x$  be a fixed point of  $T$ . Then by given condition we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, x) &= d(Tx, Tx) \\ &\leq ad(x, x) + b[d(x, Tx) + d(x, Tx)] \\ &\leq ad(x, x) + 2bd(x, x) \\ d(x, x) &\leq (a + 2b)d(x, x) \end{aligned}$$

which is true only if  $d(x, x) = 0$ , since  $0 \leq (a + 2b) < 1$  and  $d(x, x) = 0$ .

Thus  $d(x, x) = 0$ , if  $x$  is fixed point of  $T$ .

Now let  $x, y$  be fixed point of  $T$ . That is  $Tx = x, Ty = y$ , then by given condition,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= d(Tx, Ty) \\ &\leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \\ &\leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, x) + d(y, y)] \\ d(x, y) &\leq ad(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$d(y, x) \leq ad(y, x)$$

Hence  $|d(x, y) - d(y, x)| \leq a|d(x, y) - d(y, x)|$

which implies that  $d(x, y) = d(y, x), 0 \leq a < 1$ .

Again from (3.1)

$$d(x, y) \leq ad(x, y)$$

which gives  $d(x, y) = 0$  since  $0 \leq a < 1$ .

Further  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$  gives  $x = y$ .

Hence fixed point is unique.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dq-metric space and let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping satisfying the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \quad \text{-----}(3.2)$$

where  $a, b$  are non negative, which may depends on both  $x$  and  $y$ , such that  $a + 2b < 1$ . Then  $T$  has unique fixed point.

**Proof :** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ , defined as follows:

$$\text{Let } x_0 \in X, T(x_0) = x_1, T(x_1) = x_2, \dots, T(x_n) = x_{n+1}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq ad(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b[d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})] \\ &= ad(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_n)] \\ &\leq ad(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\ &\leq (a + b)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + bd(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{a+b}{1-b} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ \text{where } \lambda &\leq \frac{(a+b)}{(1-b)}. \end{aligned}$$

Rest of the proof of this theorem is same as theorem 3.1.

### References

1. B.E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definition of contractive mapping, Trans. Amer.soc. 226(1977), 257-290.
2. B.K.Dass, S, Gupta, An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression, India J. pure appl. Math, 6 (1975) 1455-1458.
3. C.T. Aage, J.N. Salunke, The Results on Fixed Points in Dislocated and Dislocated Quasi-Metric Space, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol.2, (2008),no.59,2941-2948.
4. F.M.Zeyada, G.H. Hassan, M.A. Ahmed A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces. The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, Vol.31, Number 1A,(2005),111-114.
5. Lj.B.Ciric, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proceeding of the American Mathematical Society, vol 45, No.2 (Aug .1974).
6. P. Hitzler, A.K. Seda, Dislocated Topologies, Journal of Electrical Engineering, Vol.51 No. 12/5, 2000, 3-7.
7. R.Kannan, some results on fixed points, Bull.Calcutta Math.Soc.,60,pp 71-76(1968).